Current-Driven Phase Oscillation and Domain-Wall Propagation in $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ Nanobeams
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ABSTRACT

We report the observation of a current-driven metal (M)–insulator (I) phase oscillation in two-terminal devices incorporating individual $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ nanobeams connected to parallel shunt capacitors. The frequency of the phase oscillation reaches above 5 MHz for ~1 μm long devices. The M–I phase oscillation, which coincides with the charging/discharging of the capacitor, occurs through the axial drift of a single M–I domain wall driven by Joule heating and the Peltier effect.

First-order solid–solid phase transitions underlie many technologically important material properties, such as ferroelectricity and the metal–insulator transition (MIT). The phase transitions in bulk and thin-film materials occur through heterogeneous domain nucleation and expansion, and materials undergoing repeated phase transitions thus develop complicated domain boundaries and often become polycrystalline.1–4 Despite its critical importance in determining the functional characteristics of a material, the dynamics at the domain boundary has been difficult to investigate because domain nucleation and organization cannot be controlled in bulk systems.

In contrast, nanoscale materials with one or more dimensions smaller than a typical domain size do not support multiple domains along the confined directions and exhibit phase transition behaviors that differ significantly from their bulk counterparts.2–7 These materials therefore provide excellent model systems for examining the microscopic mechanism of solid–solid phase transitions as well as the dynamics of individual domains.2–5

Here we report the observation of current-driven metal (M)–insulator (I) phase oscillations in two terminal devices incorporating individual $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ nanobeams connected to parallel shunt capacitors. The frequency ($f$) of the phase oscillation increases linearly with current and is inversely proportional to the nanobeam length and the capacitance ($C$) in the circuit. The maximum $f$ of the phase oscillator exceeds 5 MHz for 1 μm long devices when $C \sim 100$ pF. The experimental data suggest that the phase oscillation, which coincides with the capacitor charging/discharging, is dictated by the Joule-heating-induced MIT, heat dissipation, and the Peltier effect, and that the phase oscillation occurs through the axial drift of a single M–I domain wall.8,9 These observations demonstrate that nanobeams and nanowires can act as essentially one-dimensional systems for domain propagation and provide detailed insight into the microscopic mechanism of domain motion. They also demonstrate that the nanoscale MIT might be used to define the electrical oscillator function in nano- and macroelectronic circuits.

Bulk VO$_2$ undergoes a Mott MIT at $T_{MIT} \sim 68 °C$.10–12 Accompanying the MIT is a structural transition of the crystal lattice from a low-temperature (insulating) monoclinic phase to a high-temperature (metallic) tetragonal phase.10,11 When vanadium is substituted by other transition metal elements, $T_{MIT}$ can be lowered continuously to room temperature and below.13–15 Pure and doped VO$_2$ have been suggested as candidates for realizing Mott field-effect transistors16,17 and thermochromic devices.13,18

The single-crystalline $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ nanobeams employed in this study were synthesized using a variant of the vapor transport method reported previously.19 Analyses of electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction data, such as those in parts a and b of Figure 1, show that the $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ nanobeams are single crystalline and that the W atoms are homogeneously distributed throughout the nanobeam. The MIT in individual $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ nanobeams was studied by incorporating them into two- and four-probe geometries using electron-beam lithography (Figure 2a, inset).20 Figure 1c shows the temperature dependence of the four-probe resistance measured from five nanobeams with increasing W doping levels: $T_{MIT}$ decreases...
at a rate of $-18.4 \, ^\circ \text{C}/\%$ as $x$ increases, consistent with earlier studies on bulk $\text{W}_x\text{V}_{1-x}\text{O}_2$.\textsuperscript{13–15}

Our previous study has shown that VO$_2$ and W$_x$V$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanobeams do not support multiple M–I domains along their widths and thus serve as one-dimensional systems for domain organization.\textsuperscript{20} The character of the MIT depends drastically on a nanobeam’s strain environment. When a nanobeam in contact with a substrate undergoes an MIT, substrate-induced strain causes the spontaneous formation of alternating M–I domains.\textsuperscript{20} In contrast, a suspended nanobeam undergoes the temperature-induced MIT as a single domain,\textsuperscript{20} as evidenced by a temperature-induced transition that is much sharper than those observed in bulk crystals and on-substrate nanobeams (Figure 1c). All the data presented in this study were obtained from suspended nanobeam devices in vacuum in order to minimize the complications arising from the strain-induced domains.
Figure 2a shows the room-temperature current ($I$)—voltage ($V$) characteristics of a representative two-probe $W_{0.01}V_{0.99}O_2$ nanobeam device connected in parallel to a shunt capacitor (the inset to Figure 2a shows a diagram of the driving circuit). In this circuit, $C$ can arise from the measurement apparatus, such as the BNC cables and the voltmeter, or from an externally introduced shunt capacitor. Because of the charging and discharging of $C$, the current flowing through the nanobeam ($I_{ab}$) differs from total current $I$ (see Supporting Information). At low $I$, the device exhibits a behavior consistent with the nanobeam in the I phase. As $I$ is increased above a threshold $I_{th}$ (for a typical 1 $\mu$m long nanobeam device, $I_{th} \sim 50$ $\mu$A), however, the voltage drop across the device ($V_{ab}$) develops a rapid, spontaneous oscillation. When $I$ is increased even further, typically two or three times $I_{th}$, $V_{ab}$ becomes stable again, with the device resistance reaching that of the M phase. The power dissipation of the device at the onset of the $V_{ab}$ oscillation decreases linearly with the ambient temperature $T$, extrapolating to 0 at $T \sim 50$ °C ($T_{MIT}$ of the $W_{0.01}V_{0.99}O_2$ nanobeam under study) (see Supporting Information).

Figure 2b shows $I_{ab}$ and $V_{ab}$ plotted against time ($t$) measured from a $W_{0.01}V_{0.99}O_2$ nanobeam device. The $V_{ab}$ waveform has a sawtooth shape, with peaks in $I_{ab}$ coinciding with the rapidly falling portions of the $V_{ab}$ trace. As shown in Figure 2c, $f$ of the $V_{ab}$ ($I_{ab}$) oscillation is directly proportional to $I$ and is inversely proportional to $C$ and the nanobeam length ($L_{ab}$). Measurements on multiple devices show that these $I$, $C$, and $L_{ab}$ scaling behaviors are common to all suspended devices. The typical $f$ of 1–3 $\mu$m long nanobeam devices is $\sim$1 MHz when $I \sim 100$ $\mu$A and $C \sim 100$ pF.

Whereas the apparent shapes of $I_{ab}$ and $V_{ab}$ waveforms do not depend sensitively on $C$ (and thus $f$), the time traces of nanobeam resistance ($R_{ab} = V_{ab}/I_{ab}$) plotted in parts a and b of Figure 3 show that the $R_{ab}$ waveform shapes change dramatically with $C$ and $f$. At high $f$ ($f > 500$ kHz), $R_{ab}$ oscillates between the values consistent with the nanobeam in the M (low $R_{ab}$) and I (high $R_{ab}$) phases with a sawtooth waveform. When $f$ is small ($<1$ kHz), on the other hand, $R_{ab}$ jumps to a large value at the end of a $I_{ab}$ spike and decreases gradually with time when $I_{ab}$ is small. At intermediate $f$ values, the $R_{ab}$ waveform shapes evolve smoothly between these limiting shapes.

When $C$ exceeds 10 $\mu$F, $f$ of a typical nanobeam device is small enough to allow real-time optical interrogations.20 Video 1, included in Supporting Information, shows that the $R_{ab}$ oscillations in Figure 3b are caused by the conversion and expansion of the I and M phases within a nanobeam, as schematically illustrated in Figure 3. Moreover, the same video shows that the direction of the domain motion depends on the direction of $I$.

The phase oscillations seen in Figures 2 and 3 are reminiscent of those observed in bulk organic21 and inorganic materials,8,22–24 including bulk VO$_2$.8,23 The behavior of suspended $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ nanobeam devices is distinct from these bulk oscillations in several important aspects, however. First, the nanobeam device exhibits a stable phase oscillation with $f$ up to 5 MHz. In contrast, the oscillations observed in bulk VO$_2$ had several different waveform shapes that were unstable, and their $f$ was limited to $<5$ kHz.8,23 More importantly, the frequency of the phase oscillations from nanobeam devices obeys well-defined scaling relations with $C$, $I$, and $L_{ab}$: these scaling behaviors have not been observed in previous bulk studies8,21–24 and provide important experimental clues for the microscopic mechanism underlying the phase oscillation.

Specifically, the data presented in Figures 2 and 3 show that the interplay between the Joule-heating-induced MIT, the capacitor charging/discharging, and heat dissipation is responsible for the $V_{ab}$ and $I_{ab}$ oscillations in $W_xV_{1-x}O_2$ nanobeam devices. When the capacitor is charging, part of $I$ flows through the capacitor instead of the nanobeam. As the charging progresses, however, more $I$ flows through the nanobeam, resulting in a higher Joule heating power. When the temperature reaches $T_{MIT}$, the nanobeam converts into the M phase. This I-to-M conversion process is self-reinforcing: the metallic nanobeam provides a low-resistance pathway between the capacitor and the ground, causing the capacitor to discharge through the nanobeam (indicated by

![Figure 3](image-url). (a) $I_{ab}$ (blue) and $R_{ab}$ (red) traces of the nanobeam from Figure 2 over one cycle, $C = 100$ $\mu$F. (b) $I_{ab}$ (blue) and $R_{ab}$ (red) traces of another nanobeam, $C = 220$ $\mu$F. The $R_{ab}$ trace is calculated by taking $R_{ab}(t) = V_{ab}(t)/I_{ab}(t)$. (c) Left panel: cartoon showing the domain-wall propagation along the $I$ direction. Right panel: the band diagram at a reverse-biased I–M junction illustrating the Peltier effect. The I phase is drawn as n-type.
the \( I_{ab} \) spikes in Figure 2b). As \( I_{ab} \) decreases during the capacitor discharge, part of the nanobeam cools and converts back to the I phase, and the cycle restarts.

This phase-oscillation scenario explains the \( f \) scaling with \( I \), \( 1/C \), and \( 1/I_{ab} \) as well. Figure 2b shows that the rise time \( (\tau_r) \) of the \( V_{ab} \) waveform is generally longer than the fall time \( (\tau_f) \): this disparity originates because the capacitor charging and discharging rates are determined by \( R_{ab}C \) and, while discharging, the nanobeam is in the less-resistive M phase. The observed \( f \) variation with \( I \) and \( 1/C \) is a direct reflection of the charging/discharging rate change. Finally, \( f \) is proportional to \( 1/I_{ab} \) because \( R_{ab} \) increases linearly with \( I_{ab} \).

The dependence of the shape of the \( R_{ab} \) traces on C shown in parts a and b of Figure 3 can be explained, on the other hand, by the difference in the capacitor discharging time relative to the heat dissipation time. When \( f \) is high, the discharging is rapid enough that the nanobeam is still cooling after the capacitor has fully discharged and starts recharging. This process leads to an I phase expansion during the charging step. When \( f \) is low, part of the nanobeam cools into the I phase before the capacitor has fully discharged. This M-to-I conversion raises \( R_{ab} \) and prematurely halts the capacitor discharge. Consequently, at the start of charging, \( I_{ab} \) is already large enough to heat the nanobeam and make the M phase grow.

The observation that the direction of the domain motion depends on the direction of \( I \) in the optical interrogations (see Supporting Information) indicates that the Peltier effect also plays a role in the heat conduction and domain motion processes. As illustrated in Figure 3c, the M–I domain boundary within \( W_{0.01}V_{0.99}O_2 \) and at the Cr–\( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) interface form n-type Schottky barriers.25,26 Hot electrons crossing the Schottky barrier from the metal (Cr or \( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) M phase) to the \( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) I phase are driven away from the domain boundary by the electric field within the \( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) I phase. These hot electrons therefore carry heat with them, cooling the metal–insulator interface. In devices fabricated from nanobeams resting on the substrate, which have multiple M–I domains,20 the domains propagate in the direction of \( I \) (see video 2 in Supporting Information). A similar effect has been observed in bulk samples and attributed to the M–I Peltier junctions within the \( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) nanobeam locally heating and cooling the M–I domain walls.

The effects of the two types of Peltier junctions on the motion of a single domain wall can be counteracting: the Cr–\( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) Peltier junction drives the I phase to expand on the low-\( V \) (electron source) end of the nanobeam, whereas the \( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) M–I Peltier junction drives the I phase to expand on the high-\( V \) (electron sink) end. In the optical interrogations of suspended nanobeams (see Supporting Information), the I phase appears mostly on the low-voltage end of the nanobeam, suggesting that the Cr–\( W_{0.17}V_{0.83}O_2 \) Peltier effect is dominant in determining the direction of domain wall propagation in suspended nanobeams.

The experimental data presented here show that the spontaneous M–I phase oscillations exhibited by current-biased \( W_{x}V_{1-x}O_2 \) nanobeams occur through the axial drift of a single M–I domain wall caused by Joule heating, the Peltier effect, the MIT, heat dissipation, and the charging/discharging of a shunt capacitor. They also demonstrate that one-dimensional nanostructures provide an ideal model system for studying the dynamics of confined domains and domain boundaries. Due to their nanoscale dimensions and controlled current path, the suspended nanobeams display a domain behavior that is well-defined and amenable for detailed study, illuminating the microscopic mechanism of domain motion.
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Supporting Information Available: Two videos obtained from devices incorporating single \( W_{0.01}V_{0.99}O_2 \) nanobeams: in video 1, the nanobeam is suspended, and in video 2, the nanobeam is lying on a substrate. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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This Supporting Information contains two figures, two videos and legends.
Figure S1. Current as a function of time through the nanobeam (red) and through the capacitor \(C = 1 \, \mu\text{F}\) (blue), for a nanobeam whose dimensions are 190 nm \(\times\) 150 nm \(\times\) 3.9 \(\mu\text{m}\). The sum of the two currents is the driving current (35 \(\mu\text{A}\)).

Figure S2. Threshold power \(P_{th}\) plotted as a function of ambient temperature.

Video 1, available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org, shows the metal-insulator domain wall advancing in real time. The movie is taken from a suspended
nanobeam device, with a high shunt capacitance ($C = 220 \text{ µF}$) added in order to slow down the phase-change oscillation to an easily observable frequency ($\sim 0.1 \text{ Hz}$). In this video, a metal phase grows slowly until the entire nanobeam is metallic, at which point the nanobeam snaps back to its original phase, which is mostly insulating with a small metallic phase at the edge of the nanobeam. As shown in the video, reversing the direction of $I$ essentially flips the video upside-down.

Video 2 shows a nanobeam device in which the nanobeam is resting on the SiO$_x$ substrate. In this device, several M-I domains form within a nanobeam due to substrate-induced strain. The M-I domains move in the direction of $I$, driven by the Peltier effect at the M-I junctions.